

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE  
COMMISSION ,

Plaintiff,

v.

DONALD E. MacCORD, Jr. et al.,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 2:17-cv-01809-TSZ

**ORDER REGARDING JUDICIAL  
SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE**

This case has been referred for settlement conference before the Honorable BRIAN A. TSUCHIDA, United States Magistrate Judge, regarding Plaintiff and Defendant Shannon D. Doyle. *See* Dkt. 63 (order of reference).

The referral is limited to Defendant Doyle. Accordingly, Defendant Doyle, his counsel and counsel for Plaintiff are ORDERED TO APPEAR via ZOOM on:

**April 27, 2022 at 9:30 am**

**United States District Courthouse – via ZOOM  
700 Stewart St. 12th floor - Courtroom 12A  
Seattle, WA 98101**

Who Must Be Present

Each attorney who will try the case must be physically present, accompanied by the client or a representative with full authority to resolve the case. Having a client or representative

1 available by telephone is not acceptable. If there are other individuals whose presence would be  
2 necessary to resolve the case, please arrange for their attendance. Failure to produce the  
3 appropriate persons at the mediation or the failure to participate in good faith may result in an  
4 award of costs and attorney fees incurred by the other parties regarding the conference, or other  
5 sanctions against the non-complying party or counsel. The Court expects all participants to be  
6 prepared and sincerely interested in settlement. All parties should keep an open mind and be  
7 willing to reassess previous positions. The court expects the parties to address each other with  
8 courtesy and respect.

9 Settlement memos

10 Each party shall email a separate settlement memo to Judge Tsuchida's in-court clerk at  
11 [andy\\_quach@wawd.uscourts.gov](mailto:andy_quach@wawd.uscourts.gov). by 12:00 noon on April 19, 2022. These memos are  
12 confidential; do not file them with the clerk's office or serve them on opposing counsel. These  
13 mediation memos should help the Court understand the facts, the issues to resolve, the applicable  
14 law and the parties' settlement position. Brevity is encouraged.

15 The Court understands from the docket in this case that this civil action is related to  
16 Defendant's criminal charges and conviction in the Northern District of California. If there are  
17 any agreements, findings, orders or other information or pleadings from the criminal case which  
18 are relevant to the settlement of this case, the parties are directed to provide them. Each party's  
19 memo must also include a settlement proposal and describe settlement efforts to date including  
20 matters in which the parties agree and matters that require resolution. Exhibits that would help  
21 the Court understand the case may also be submitted.

## Retaining Jurisdiction

DATED this 9<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2022.

## ORDER REGARDING JUDICIAL SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE - 3